1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General	
2	of the State of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KATHLEEN B.Y. LAM, State Bar No. 95379	
4	Deputy Attorney General 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
5	San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2091 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9		
10	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
11	BUREAU OF VOCATIONAL NURSING AND PSYCHIATRIC TECHNICIANS	
12	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. VN-2005-1822
14	CYNTHIA C. RABARA, a.k.a. CYNTHIA CRANE RABARA,	ACCUSATION
15	a.k.a. CYNTHIA CRANE RABARA, a.k.a. CYNTHIA RABARA a.k.a. CYNTHIA CRANE	ACCUSATION
16	10982 Canyon Mesa Lane San Diego, California 92126	
17	Vocational Nurse License No. VN 158471	
18	Respondent.	
19		
20	Complainant alleges:	
21	PARTIES	
22	1. Teresa Bello-Jones, J.D., M.S.N., R.N. ("Complainant") brings this	
23	Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Bureau of Vocational	
24	Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.	
25	2. On or about July 2, 1992, the Bureau issued Vocational Nurse License	
26	Number VN 158471 to Cynthia C. Rabara, also known as Cynthia Crane Rabara, Cynthia	
27	Rabara, and Cynthia Crane ("Respondent"). Respondent's vocational nurse license was in full	
28	///	

1 force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein. The license expired on March 2 31, 2008, and is in a delinquent status. 3 STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 2875 provides, in 3. 4 5 pertinent part, that the Bureau may discipline the holder of a vocational nurse license for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with Code section 2875) of the Vocational Nursing 6 7 Practice Act. 8 4. Code section 477 provides, in pertinent part, that "Board" includes "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee," 9 10 "program," and "agency." 11 5. Code section 2878 states, in pertinent part: 12 The [Bureau] may suspend or revoke a license issued under this chapter [the Vocational Nursing Practice Act (Bus. & Prof. Code § 2840, et seq.)] for 13 any of the following: 14 (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following: 15 (1) Incompetence or gross negligence in carrying out usual nursing functions . . . 16 17 6. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section ("Regulation") 2519 18 states: 19 As set forth in Section 2878 of the Code, gross negligence is deemed unprofessional conduct and is a ground for disciplinary action. As used in Section 20 2878 'gross negligence' means a substantial departure from the standard of care which, under similar circumstances, would have ordinarily been exercised by a competent licensed vocational nurse, and which has or could have resulted in harm to the consumer. An exercise of so slight a degree of care as to justify the belief that there was a conscious disregard or indifference for the health, safety, or welfare of the consumer shall be considered a substantial departure from the above standard of care. 7. Regulation 2520 states: As set forth in Section 2878 of the Code, incompetence is deemed unprofessional conduct and is a ground for disciplinary action. As used in Section

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

2878 'incompetence' means the lack of possession of and the failure to exercise that degree of learning, skill, care and experience ordinarily possessed and

exercised by responsible licensed vocational nurses.

13.

On or about December 23, 2005, M. T., a 79 year old female patient, was admitted to LJNRC after receiving treatment at Scripps Memorial Hospital ("Scripps"), La Jolla, California, for fever, cough, and shortness of breath. M.T.'s medical history included dementia, breast cancer, cerebrovascular accident, gout, diabetes, and chronic atrial fibrillation. On admission to LJNRC, M.T.'s physician ordered M.T. to receive Coumadin<sup>1</sup> 10 mg by mouth daily, but to hold the medication if the INR (international normalized ratio) was greater than 2.7. The Daily Cumulative Summary Report dated December 23, 2005, received from Scripps indicated that the laboratory results for M.T.'s INR testing of the same date were "pending" and that M.T.'s previous days INR levels were 3.5, 3.2, and 2.2 for December 22, 21, and 20, 2005, respectively. LJNRC's care plan for M.T. indicated that there was increased potential for

administered "per MD orders". 14. On or about December 23, 24, 27, and 28, 2005, and January 3 through 5,

bleeding due to the use of the anti-coagulant for diagnosis of M.T.'s "DVT prophylaxsis", that

M.T.'s lab values, including INR, were to be monitored and all values reported to the doctor, that

15. On or about January 17, 2006, it was reported that M.T. had been vomiting and was diaphoretic with abnormal vital signs. LJNRC staff contacted the physician, who instructed the staff to call 911 and have M.T. transported to Scripps. 911 was called at approximately 1535 hours. Paramedics arrived at LJNRC at 1540 hours and transported M.T. to Scripps. At approximately 1830 hours, Scripps telephoned LJNRC and informed the facility that M.T. had an IRN of 20 with massive bleeding into the brain. M.T. died on January 18, 2006.

23 111

18

19

20

21

22

24 111

111

25

26

28

<sup>27</sup> 

<sup>1.</sup> Coumadin is an anticoagulant medication (blood thinner). In order to optimize the therapeutic effect without risking dangerous side effects, such as bleeding, close monitoring of the degree of anticoagulation is required by blood testing for the international normalized ratio (INR).

## 1 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 2 (Gross Negligence) 3 16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4 2878, subdivision (a)(1), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct. In and between December 5 2005, and January 2006, while employed as a licensed vocational nurse at LJNRC, Respondent was guilty of gross negligence in her care of patient M. T. within the meaning of Regulation 6 7 2519, as follows: 8 Respondent failed to review M.T.'s INR test results before administering a. 9 the Coumadin. 10 b. Respondent failed to follow-up to determine why the proper lab work had 11 not been obtained. Respondent failed to call M.T.'s physician for an order for INR lab work 12 C. and then notify the lab to perform a stat INR. 13 14 d. Respondent failed to consult with the admitting/supervising registered 15 nurse. 16 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 17 (Incompetence) 17. 18 Complainant incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 12 through 15, above. 19 20 18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 21 2878, subdivision (a)(1), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that in and between 22 December 2005, and January 2006, while employed as a licensed vocational nurse at LJNRC, 23 Respondent was guilty of incompetence in her care of patient M. T. within the meaning of 24 Regulation 2520, as set forth in paragraph 16 above. 25 THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 26 (Unprofessional Conduct) 27 19. Complainant incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the 28 allegations contained in paragraphs 12 through 15, above.

28

Rev. 8/12/08 kbl